X 1	SECRET	The Designation	
		Executive Registry ICS 84-7678	
		18 May 1984	
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Senior Interagency Group (I	ntelligence)	
FROM:	Walter Elder Executive Secretary, SIG(I)		
SUBJECT:	Minutes of 19 April 1984 SI 1330-1445 Hours	G(I) Meeting	
Summary of Decis		and the start approved the	2
NSDD-84-directed	study of the Federal Person National Security Council	with a request to approve the	_
study's recommen	idations as a basis for furth	ner work on the subject.	
study's recommen	ndations as a basis for furth	ner work on the subject.	
study's recommen	idations as a basis for fufts	ner work on the subject.	
study's recommen	idations as a basis for fufts	ner work on the subject.	
Minutes of the land of the directed the office of Presecurity pr	Meeting Personnel Security Review ting Chairman, Mr. John McMa e Attorney General, in consu	hon, noted that NSDD-84	
Minutes of the land of the lan	Meeting Personnel Security Review ting Chairman, Mr. John McMa e Attorney General, in consu- ersonnel Management (OPM), to ogram and to recommend appro- onnel Security Review Group, e Policy, Department of Just n options paper identifying	hon, noted that NSDD-84 ltation with the Director, o study the federal personnel priate revisions to existing chaired by the Counsel for ice. Miss Mary Lawton, has	
Minutes of the land land land land land land land land	Meeting Personnel Security Review ting Chairman, Mr. John McMa e Attorney General, in consu- ersonnel Management (OPM), togram and to recommend appro- onnel Security Review Group, e Policy, Department of Just n options paper identifying ance.	hon, noted that NSDD-84 ltation with the Director, o study the federal personnel priate revisions to existing chaired by the Counsel for ice, Miss Mary Lawton, has four issues calling for NSC the NSC, the review group has	
Minutes of the investment of t	Meeting Personnel Security Review ting Chairman, Mr. John McMa e Attorney General, in consu- ersonnel Management (OPM), to ogram and to recommend appro- onnel Security Review Group, e Policy, Department of Just n options paper identifying ance. the tasking was directed by s findings to the SIG(I) aft of the IG(CM).	hon, noted that NSDD-84 ltation with the Director, o study the federal personnel priate revisions to existing chaired by the Counsel for ice, Miss Mary Lawton, has four issues calling for NSC the NSC, the review group has er first securing the ess areas of direct interest to	
Minutes of the land land land land land land land land	Meeting Personnel Security Review ting Chairman, Mr. John McMa e Attorney General, in consu- ersonnel Management (OPM), togram and to recommend appro- onnel Security Review Group, e Policy, Department of Just n options paper identifying ance. the tasking was directed by s findings to the SIG(I) aft of the IG(CM). the recommendations cut acro the Director, OPM, Dr. Dona	hon, noted that NSDD-84 ltation with the Director, o study the federal personnel priate revisions to existing chaired by the Counsel for ice, Miss Mary Lawton, has four issues calling for NSC the NSC, the review group has er first securing the ess areas of direct interest to	

Approved For Release	2009/09/22 +	CIA-RDP86N	M 00886R000 Δ 0	10030029-7

٦.	_	`	4
,	~	х	1
_	_	/\	

25X1

SECRET	

Miss Lawton noted that the study group, which was composed of representatives from the Office of Personnel Management, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Department of Energy, the Department of Treasury, and the Department of Justice, had identified four issues which called for NSC policy guidance before undertaking further action.	25X1
First, the study group should focus on standards, procedures, and authorities relating to access or proximity to classified information by all U.S. Government employees, contractors, and military personnel. The group should address the federal employment suitability program only insofar as necessary to maintain a clear distinction between suitability for employment and access to classified material.	25X1
Two, the study group should draft a new Executive Order on access to classified information, modifying Executive Order 10450 only in order to make it clear that the new order stands distinct from the general requirements of Executive Order 10450.	25X1
Three, the group should attempt to develop reasonable uniform basic investigative standards for access to national security information which reflect workable and appropriate distinctions amon the various categories of such information.	g 25X1
Four, the group should be authorized to develop basic uniform adjudication procedures for denying or revoking access to classified information which are related to the impact an unfavorable determination may have on a person's employment status.	25X1
On three, Miss Lawton stressed that uniform basic investigative standards would serve as a minimum standard. It was recognized that more stringent standards could be applied.	25X1
On four, Miss Lawton noted that the problem was that denial of access may turn out to be denial of employment. The development of common due process standards could strengthen the government's hand in court cases. She also noted that military and contractor personnel would be included. Executive Order 10450 covers civilian employees only.	25X1
The SIG(I) participants, Dr. Devine, OPM, General Stilwell, OSI Lt Gen Williams, representing JCS, and Mr. Montgomery, Department of State, Mr. O'Malley, FBI, and Mr. deGraffenreid, NSC staff, all supported the study group's recommendations.),
SECRET 2	

	Approved For Release 2009/09/22 : CIA-RDP86M00886R000400030029-7	
25X1	SECRET	
25744	In the course of general discussion, the Acting Chairman, Mr. John McMahon, observed that CIA had some concerns that the establishment of common standards might lead to a relaxation of more stringent criteria. Dr. Devine noted that CIA had not been a member of the study aroup, and suggested that the Agency be included in the next phase.	25X1 25X1
25X1	was concerned that the establishment of common, minimum investigative standards could, because of practical consideration, lead to a	OEV4
	situation where the floor would in effect become a ceiling.	25X1 _ 25X1
	In response to Mr. McMahon's question about who would establish clearance standards for the Department of Agriculture, for example, Dr. Devine said that OPM would be responsible. He also noted that a problem could arise if CIA thought that the military services did not perform adequate investigations. He noted that the House Armed Services Committee was considering an amendment which would have the effect of exempting the entire Department of Defense from OPM and	
	E.O. 10450 purview. This would preclude the option of having uniform standards and procedures.	25X1
	Mr. McMahon said that CIA considered suitability for employment as equivalent to the higher standards required for access to classified information.	25X1
	General Stilwell endorsed the recommendation establishing uniform standards, and stated that this in no way lowered CIA's standards or prevented them from imposing more rigorous criteria.	
	Mr. McMahon noted that any new set of standards would be an improvement over the present situation. He asked Miss Lawton if there was any problem in including CIA on the study group's next phase.	
	Miss Lawton said that the only reason CIA had not been a member up until now was to avoid the perception that the review was being dominated by the Intelligence Community.	25X1
25X1	SECRET	

Approved For Release 2009/09/22 : CIA-RDP86M00886R000400030029-7

Approved For F	2-1 2000/00/22		D D D O C M O O O O C I	2000400020020
Approved For R	Release 2009/09/22	CIA	-KDPOOIVIUUOOOI	₹UUU4UUU3UU29- /

25X1

25X1

25X1

	Approved 1 of Release 2003/03/22 . OIA-RDT 00M00000R000400030025-7	
25X1	1 SECRET	

General Stilwell observed that the great proportion of security clearances were at the SECRET level where the standards were not as rigorous as one might desire. Many personnel cleared for access to SECRET do not in fact have a need for access. This emphasizes the importance of the re-investigation process where priority can be given to those individuals who experience shows are the ones who have frequent access to classified information.	25X1
Mr. deGraffenreid asked about how many court challenges could be expected.	25X1
Miss Lawton said that the most likely challenges would come on adjudication processes. She noted that revision of these processes could diminish a contractor's ability to conduct protracted appeal processes. She also noted that by separating the issues of suitability and access, the government would be in a better position to make a clear case on what harm may be done.	25X1
said that an issue remained in deciding whether Agriculture's secrets were more or less sensitive than CIA's.	25X1
Miss Lawton said that the Department of Defense had a special problem because of the number of employees involved and the financial burden of background investigations.	25X1
Dr. Devine said that adjudication was a real problem, and that the government's record in court cases on suitability was not good. However, E.O. 10450 had survived the test of some 31 years, and OPM had issued clarifying instructions about suitability. He noted that the study group's paper would retain the provisions of E.O. 10450, but would carve out new ground in setting forth criteria for access to classified information.	25X1
Mr. McMahon said that this was a key issue, establishing criteria for access.	25X1
Miss Lawton agreed, but noted that the DCI has special authorities in this matter.	25X1
turned his attention to issue four, stating that the establishment of common due process standards could subject the DCI to an external review procedure.	25X1
Dr. Devine responded by pointing out that due process for suitability was not the same as due process for access. By separating the two issues, the study group proposed to develop distinct standards.	25X1
SECRET	

- ---- Approved For Release 2009/09/22 : CIA-RDP86M00886R000400030029-7

٠	Approved For Release 2009/09/22 : CIA-RDP86M00886R000400030029-7	
25X1	SECRET	
25X1	pointed out that in the case of CIA, suitability for employment was equivalent to access to classified information. Such rigorous criteria did not apply to other government components. CIA applies different due process standards, which, he argued, should be retained. Miss Lawton observed that the proposed due process standards would indeed be a least common denominator, the bare minimum	25X1
	applicable under the Constitution. She noted that the DCI enjoyed a statutory exemption in protecting intelligence sources and methods.	
25X1	Mr. McMahon noted the consensus of the SIG(I), and approved	25X1 25X1
23/1	forwarding the paper to the NSC to obtain the required approvals.	



CIA-RDPobiviouoobRuuu4	00030029-7	
SECRET		
consider the issue	uld also be addressed, and	he
	Walter Elder	
ed for 1000 hours,	27 April 1984.	
SECRET		
7		
	che SIG(I) that the consider the issue lestion of leaks wo now to deal with the ed for 1000 hours,	the SIG(I) that the DCI would chair an early consider the issue of the hostile presence in lestion of leaks would also be addressed, and now to deal with this chronic problem. Walter Elder Walter Elder

Approved For Release 2009/09/22: CIA-RDP86M00886R000400030029-7

SECRET

Senior Interagency Group (Intelligence) Meeting 19 April 1984

ATTENDEES:

NSC

State

Defense

Justice

JCS

FBI

OMP

ICS

25X1

CIA

Mr. John McMahon, DDCI (Chairman)

Mr. Ken deGraffenreid

Mr. Hugh Montgomery

General Richard G. Stiilwell, USA (Ret.)

Miss Mary C. Lawton

Lt Gen James A. Williams, USA Colonel Charles J. Little, USA

Mr. Edward J. O'Malley

Dr. Donald Devine

Miss Eloise R. Page

25X1

SECRET 8 SUBJECT: Minutes of SIG(I) Meeting - 19 April 1984

Distribution: ICS 84-7678

1 - NSC (K. deGraffenreid)
1 - Department of State (H. Montgomery)
1 - Department of Defense (LTC R. Higgins for General Stilwell)
1 - Joint Chiefs of Staff (LTG Williams)
1 - FBI (Mr. O'Malley)
1 - Justice (Miss Lawton)
1 - OPM (Dr. Devine)
1 - NSA (LTG Faurer)
1 - D/ICS
1 - DD/ICS
1 - Executive Registry
1 - SIG(I) Chrono

Approved For Release 2009/09/22 : CIA-RDP86M00886R000400030029-7

STAT ES/SIG(I) WElder: (18 May 84)

1 - Secretariat Staff Registry

1 - SIG(I) Subject

1 - ICS Registry